



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/821,238

03/25/2004

David Bordui

5087-081

9570

20575 7590 12/19/2006  
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.  
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400  
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

WALTER, CRAIG E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2188

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/19/2006

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# **Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/821,238

Applicant(s)

BORDUI, DAVID

Examiner

Craig E. Walter

Art Unit

2188

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Craig E. Walter (Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Elmer Galbi (Applicant's representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11 December 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yamagami et al. (US Patent 5,644,539) and Nickel et al. (US PG Publication 2004/0044838 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative briefly discussed newly presented claim 21 and provided an explanation to Examiner as to allegedly how it overcomes previously cited art. Examiner requested that that Applicant's representative file the amendment through the official channels of communication, however remarked that the amendment would most likely require further search and consideration to determine if the new claim overcomes all prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required